UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>CR-07-00550</u> RMW ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Victor Martinez Defendant.	GROWEN OF DEFENTION FENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act 18115 C	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on $Q/I/4$, 200
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney	9 3142(1), a detention hearing was held on 4/14, 2005
Assistant U.S. Attorney J. Allano.	. The Office States was represented by
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	•
	ribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defindent has been
convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f	(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state of beal posed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from
imprisonment, whichever is later.	CFD
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no co	andition or combination of conditions will reasonabe for the
safety of any other person and the community.	NOD\$4.7.4
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indicting	nent) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
defendant has committed an offense	Open.
A for which a maximum term of imprisor	nment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR	at to your of most to presented in 21 O.S.C. 9 801 et
B. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firea	arm during the commission of a felony
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no cor	ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the	community
No presumption applies.	voliditation,
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant has not come forward with any ev	ridence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore
will be ordered detained.	redence to reput the approache presumption[s], and he therefore
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence t	a rebut the applicable pregumetical as with
	o rooms me applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United St.	ates
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUITED OR IN	APPITCARIE)
The United States has proved to a preponderance	of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as requ	vired 'ANTI/OD
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convin	icing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the co	complete that he condition of combination of conditions
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF	DELCONG DOD DETERMINED
## The Court has taken into account the foctors set of	ut in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
at hearing and finds as follows: The fundary	at in 18 U.S.C. 9 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
Alledone a violation of 18 1150 831	sefere the west on an indictment
The Value of the Control of the Cont	
Nichmaland was an an en VIII and	8 USC & 401 (3) Continest of liver Y. No
	THE REST OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE
not peld surrender the Circhy	
The sug sunerderie the defendan	I is a flight risk.
// Defendant his attorney and the ATICA to	
/ / Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waive PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	d written findings.
The defendant is committed to the curred.	
corrections facility separate to the extension and the Attorney	General or his designated representative for confinement in a
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons a	awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending
The deteriorant strait be attorded a reasonable opportunity for	Or nrivate consultation with defense assessed to the consultation with defense
of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Governmenthe defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an app	Then the person in charge of the co-patients 5 - 11 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
and determined to the Officed States Marshal for the purpose of an appropriate to the Officed States Marshal for the purpose of an appropriate to the Officed States Marshal for the purpose of an appropriate to the Officed States Marshal for the purpose of an appropriate to the Officed States Marshal for the purpose of an appropriate to the Officed States of the Officed St	pearance in connection with a court proceeding

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge